

Treblinka

Extermination Camp or Transit Camp?

Carlo Mattogno and Jürgen Graf



Castle Hill Publishers
P.O. Box 243, Uckfield, TN22 9AW, UK
October 2016

HOLOCAUST HANDBOOKS, Volume 8:

Carlo Mattogno, Jürgen Graf:

Treblinka. Extermination Camp or Transit Camp?

With an epilogue by Germar Rudolf

Translated by Regina Belser

Reprint of the 2nd, corrected edition, of April 2005

Uckfield, East Sussex: CASTLE HILL PUBLISHERS

P.O. Box 243, Uckfield, TN22 9AW, UK

October 2016

ISBN13: 978-1-59148-159-1 (print edition)

ISBN10: 1-59148-159-7 (print edition)

ISSN: 1529-7748

© 2002, 2004, 2005 by Carlo Mattogno, Jürgen Graf

Distribution worldwide by:

Castle Hill Publishers

P.O. Box 243

Uckfield, TN22 9AW

UK

Set in Times New Roman

www.holocausthandbooks.com

Cover illustrations: top: Treblinka model by Yaakov Wiernik, located in the Ghetto Fighters' House Museum, Israel (Dr. Avishai Teicher, 7 May 2013, <https://commons.wikimedia.org>, museum surroundings removed); bottom left: Treblinka Memorial, close-up (Adrian Grycuk, 29 June 2013, *ibid.*); bottom right: Treblinka Memorial plaque; background: Treblinka memorial, from a distance (Adrian Grycuk, *ibid.*, sky removed) – a large portion of the campgrounds has been paved over with concrete, and 17,000 rocks, reminiscent of tombstones, have been placed there.

Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	9
Part 1: Treblinka: A Critical Analysis of the Official Version.....	17
Chapter I: The Description of Treblinka in Historiography.....	19
1. Treblinka in Orthodox ‘Holocaust’ Literature.....	19
<i>a. Vassili Grossmann.....</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>b. Rachel Auerbach.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>c. Zdzisław Łukaszewicz.....</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>d. Nachman Blumental.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>e. Marian Muszkat.....</i>	<i>26</i>
<i>f. Jean-François Steiner.....</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>g. Krystyna Marczevska/Władysław Ważniowski.....</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>h. Martin Gray.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>i. Gitta Sereny.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>j. Stanisław Wojtczak.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>k. Adalbert Rückerl.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>l. Alexander Donat.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>m. The Main Commission’s “Encyclopedic Informer”.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>n. Eugen Kogon, Hermann Langbein, Adalbert Rückerl et al.....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>o. Claude Lanzmann.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>p. Yitzhak Arad.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>q. Ryszard Czarkowski.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>r. J. Gumkowski and A. Rutkowski.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>s. Wolfgang Benz.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>t. Richard Glazar.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>u. Jean-Claude Pressac.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>v. Assessment.....</i>	<i>41</i>
2. Treblinka in Revisionist Literature.....	41
<i>a. Treblinka and the Gerstein Report.....</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>b. Friedrich P. Berg.....</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>c. John C. Ball.....</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>d. Udo Walendy.....</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>e. Arnulf Neumaier.....</i>	<i>45</i>
<i>f. The Thesis of the Transit Camp.....</i>	<i>45</i>
Chapter II: The Development of the Idea of Treblinka as an Extermination Camp.....	47
1. The Secondary Killing Methods.....	47
2. The Main Killing Method: Steam Chambers.....	51

3. Significance of the Report of November 15, 1942, for Historiography	62
4. From Steam Chambers to Carbon Monoxide Chambers	64
5. Origin of the Carbon Monoxide Version	70
6. Triumph of the Carbon Monoxide Version	74
Chapter III: Investigations, Camp Plans, Statistics	77
1. Soviet Investigations and Forensic Examinations	77
2. Polish Investigations and Forensic Examinations.....	82
3. Assessment of the Investigations	89
4. Plans of Treblinka.....	91
5. Number of Victims of Treblinka: Origin of the Official Figure	96
Chapter IV: The Alleged Extermination Facilities in Treblinka: An Historical and Technical Analysis.....	111
1. Planning and Construction of the Eastern ‘Extermination Camps’	111
2. The Alleged Killing Installations in Treblinka	116
3. Diesel Engine or Gasoline Engine?	121
4. The ‘Struggle’ between Engine Exhaust Gases and Hydrogen Cyanide Gas	125
5. The ‘Mission’ of Kurt Gerstein.....	126
6. Russian Engines or German Engines?	132
7. Gas Chambers or Asphyxiation Chambers?	133
8. The Problem of Air Pressure in Gas Chambers	136
9. The Burning of Bodies: The Mass Graves.....	137
<i>a. Number and Size of the Graves.....</i>	<i>137</i>
<i>b. Site of the Mass Graves.....</i>	<i>138</i>
<i>c. The Excavated Earth.....</i>	<i>139</i>
<i>d. A Comparison with the Mass Graves of Treblinka I.....</i>	<i>139</i>
<i>e. The Excavators of Treblinka</i>	<i>140</i>
10. Early Cremations	141
11. Cremation Facility	143
12. Cremation.....	145
<i>a. Complexity of the Problem.....</i>	<i>145</i>
<i>b. Number and Structure of the Cremation Facilities.....</i>	<i>146</i>
<i>c. Arrangement of the Corpses on the Cremation Grates</i>	<i>148</i>
<i>d. Wood Requirement.....</i>	<i>148</i>
<i>e. Ashes</i>	<i>150</i>
<i>f. Wood Supply.....</i>	<i>151</i>
<i>g. Lack of Documentary Evidence for Cremations</i>	<i>152</i>
13. Witness Testimonies about Cremations.....	153
14. Number Gassed Daily	154
15. Property of Deportees as Material Evidence for their Extermination..	156
<i>a. Hair.....</i>	<i>158</i>

<i>b. Shoes</i>	159
<i>c. Articles of Clothing</i>	159
Chapter V: Treblinka Trials	161
1. Confessions as a Basis for Historiography	161
2. West German Treblinka Trials	162
3. The Demjanjuk Trial in Jerusalem	169
Part 2: The Function of Treblinka: An Alternative Interpretation	177
Chapter VI: National Socialist Policy of Jewish Emigration	179
1. Emigration	179
2. The Madagascar Plan	181
3. From Madagascar Plan to Deportation to the East	183
4. Results of the NS Policy of Promoting Jewish Emigration	193
5. The Start of Deportation of Jews to the East	194
6. Direct Transports of Jews to the Eastern Territories	196
7. Numerical Data on Direct Transports to the Eastern Territories	199
Chapter VII: The Role of the <i>Einsatzgruppen</i> in the Occupied Eastern Territories	203
1. Initial Situation	203
2. Reasons for Mass Shootings	205
3. The Scale of the Shootings	208
<i>a. The Number of Jews Killed in Latvia</i>	208
<i>b. The Number of Jews Killed in Lithuania</i>	209
<i>c. Lithuanian Jews in Territories Annexed by the Reich</i>	210
<i>d. Simferopol and the Manstein Trial</i>	210
<i>e. Babi Yar</i>	211
<i>f. Jews in Lithuanian Ghettos and Camps Who Were Unfit for Work</i>	211
<i>g. Jews Unfit for Work in the Ghetto of Brest</i>	214
<i>h. Jews Unfit for Work in the Ghetto of Minsk</i>	214
<i>i. Transfers of Baltic Jewish Children to Stutthof</i>	215
4. Operation 1005	217
5. The Fate of the Western Jews in the East	229
Chapter VIII: Indirect Transports of Jews to the Eastern Territories	233
1. The ‘Jewish Reservation’ of Lublin	233
2. Transports of Jews into the Lublin District in 1942	234
3. Numerical Analysis of the Transports into the Lublin District	242
4. Beginning of the Transports of Jews to Auschwitz	245
5. Auschwitz as a Transit Camp for Western Jews	250
6. Final Destination of Jews Deported to the East	253
7. Transfers into the District of Galicia	261

8. National Socialist Policy of Resettlement of Jews in the East According to Demographer Eugene M. Kulischer.....	267
Chapter IX: Transit Camp Treblinka	273
1. Deportations of Jews from the Warsaw Ghetto (1942).....	273
2. Warsaw Ghetto Uprising and the Strop Report	279
3. Deportations from the Ghetto of Białystok and the Transit Camp Małkinia	286
4. Treblinka: Gas Chambers or Delousing Chambers?	288
5. What Was the Fate of the Deportees?	293
Chapter X: Conclusion.....	299
Epilogue	303
Appendices	311
Documents	313
Photographs	337
Abbreviations	348
Bibliography	349
Index of Names.....	359

Introduction

According to official historiography, several million Jews were killed by poison gas in six National Socialist camps during the Second World War.

Two of these camps, Auschwitz and Majdanek, are supposed to have originally been established as normal concentration camps, but later served as ‘extermination camps’¹ as well, in which the able-bodied Jews were used in forced labor, while those unable to work were gassed. Furthermore, as the official historical version would have it, there were four ‘pure extermination camps,’ namely Treblinka, Sobibór, Bełżec, and Chełmno (Kulmhof), serving the exclusive purpose of annihilating Jews. Except for a handful of ‘labor Jews,’ who were necessary for keeping the camp in operation, all Jews transported there, regardless of age or state of health, were murdered without any record being made of them.

Treblinka, Sobibór, and Bełżec, often designated in the literature as ‘camps of Operation Reinhardt,’² were located in the east of the General Gouvernement, thus in German-occupied Poland. In these three camps, mass murder was supposedly committed in stationary gas chambers by means of exhaust gasses from diesel engines. On the other hand, in Chełmno, situated northwest of Lodz, gas vehicles were supposed to have served as murder weapons. According to the official version of history, in all four ‘pure extermination camps’ the corpses of the murdered were initially buried in enormous mass graves, but later, when it became clear that the military defeat of the German Reich was impending, exhumed and burned in the open air.

The claims regarding mass murder and the disposal of bodies are based entirely and exclusively upon eyewitness testimony. Documents from these camps are almost completely missing, which the official version of history explains by saying that either the National Socialists did not compile any or – in the case that documents did exist – they destroyed them in time, enough not to leave behind any proof of their atrocities. For the same reason, it is claimed, Treblinka, Sobibór, Bełżec, and Chełmno were also totally destroyed before

¹ This English term does not appear in a single German document of the war period.

² This operation, whose purpose consisted, in part, of the confiscation of Jewish property, owes its name to the State Secretary in the Ministry of Finance, Fritz Reinhardt, and not, as is claimed in most of the official historiography, to Reinhard Heydrich. The basis for this error may lie in the fact that in some documents of the war period ‘Reinhard’ (without the t) appears as an alternate way of writing Reinhardt. In reference to this, see Carlo Mattogno, *“Sonderbehandlung” ad Auschwitz. Genesi e significato*, Edizioni di Ar, Padua 2001, p. 46; an English translation of this book will appear soon: *Special Treatment in Auschwitz*, Theses & Dissertations Press, Chicago, IL, 2004.

the German retreat. In fact, the visitor finds almost no tangible traces at the sites where these camps once were.

Under these circumstances, an historian who wishes to check the picture of the four 'pure extermination camps' outlined here with scientific methods sees himself confronting a far more difficult task than a researcher who has set himself the same goal with respect to Auschwitz or Majdanek. The latter can study the documents of the camp administration, which are available in great number; he can examine the facilities – some of them preserved in undamaged condition, others in ruins – which according to the prevailing notion served as gas chambers for killing human beings, to see whether their structure was suited for such a function and whether the crematoria were capable of turning into ashes the number of bodies claimed. All of these possibilities are denied to the historian of the 'pure extermination camps.'

The theme of the present study is Treblinka, which was situated not far from the hamlet of the same name, approximately 80 km northeast of Warsaw. Treblinka is without a doubt the best known of the four 'pure extermination camps'; in public consciousness, imprinted by media reports, it has become one of the darkest hallmarks of the 'Holocaust,' second only to Auschwitz.

The Treblinka camp consisted of two camps, Treblinka I and Treblinka II. It is undisputed that Treblinka I served purely as a labor camp. The alleged 'extermination camp' bore the designation Treblinka II. This was about 4 km distant from the village of the same name, less than 2 km from the Bug River; it was on the train line running from Ostrów Mazowiecki to Siedlce, which intersected with the more important railway line from Warsaw to Białystok at the Małkinia Station. All three of the alleged 'eastern extermination camps' were erected in a border zone: Treblinka near the border between the General Gouvernement and the Białystok region, Sobibór not far from the border between the General Gouvernement and the *Reichskommissariat* Ukraine, and Bełżec between the district of Lublin and the district of Galicia.

Treblinka II was situated in an area that was by no means particularly remote, and it concealed few secrets. The train line leading from the village of Treblinka to Siedlce ran at a distance of only 300 meters from the camp, parallel to the nearby road; a branch of this train line led to the camp, and from there to the labor camp Treblinka I. Scarcely two kilometers farther on is the village of Wólka Okrąglik; in the opposite direction, approximately 2 km distant from Treblinka I, were the hamlets of Grady and Poniatowo.³

If one believes the testimony of eyewitnesses, lively contacts existed between the camp inmates and the local populace, with whom a barter trade

³ See Document 1 in Appendix.

flourished. The Polish peasants “*came with the aim of doing business*”;⁴ they brought the prisoners all kinds of food, which they exchanged for gold.⁵

“*And so it came to pass that baskets filled with rolls, roasted chickens, cheese, butter, cream, and so forth began to arrive each day at Treblinka.*”

A little more than 2 km away from Treblinka II, the Germans had established the camp Treblinka I, where prisoners were occupied chiefly in the production of gravel from an enormous pit.

The few material traces of Treblinka II,⁶ which still existed at the arrival of the Soviets in August 1944, were completely removed during the course of the years and no longer exist today. From 1959 until 1964, Treblinka attained its present form: a large sector of the camp ground was embedded in concrete and 17,000 cement blocks reminiscent of grave monuments⁷ were erected there. In the center, a gigantic monument of stone⁸ was built. At the entrance to the camp, stones proclaim in several languages that here “*more than 800,000 Jews*” were killed between July 1942 and August 1943.⁹ Concrete railroad ties, flanked by a platform likewise made of concrete, symbolize the train tracks and the train platform of the camp.¹⁰ Otherwise there is nothing for the eye to see but a meadow rimmed by fir trees.¹¹

One reaches the area where Treblinka I once stood by a forest path of some two kilometers length, and along the way one can see the old gravel pit.¹² Shortly before this, one comes upon a small cemetery where Polish prisoners rest who died in the labor camp.¹³ In an area of the former camp ground, surrounded by a forest of fir trees,¹⁴ concrete foundations can be found, approximately 12 m × 60 m in dimension, upon which former camp barracks stood.¹⁵

In Section 3 of Chapter III, the reader will find a detailed description of the area in which Treblinka I and Treblinka II were located.

From time to time during the immediate postwar period, there was talk of up to three million people having been murdered in Treblinka II.¹⁶ The two most important standard works of contemporary ‘Holocaust’ historiography

⁴ Eyewitness statement by Samuel Willenberg, in Alexander Donat (ed.), *The Death Camp Treblinka*, Holocaust Library, New York 1979, p. 192.

⁵ Eyewitness statement by Abraham Krzepicki, in A. Donat, *ibid.*, p. 125.

⁶ See Chapter III.

⁷ See Photo 1 in the Appendix.

⁸ See Photo 2 in the Appendix.

⁹ See Photo 3 in the Appendix.

¹⁰ See Photo 4 in the Appendix.

¹¹ See Photo 5 in the Appendix.

¹² See Photo 6 in the Appendix.

¹³ See Photo 7 in the Appendix.

¹⁴ See Photo 8 in the Appendix.

¹⁵ See Photo 9 in the Appendix.

¹⁶ This number was given by, for example, Wassili Grossmann in *Die Hölle von Treblinka*, Verlag für fremdspachige Literatur, Moscow 1946 (see Chapter 1).

mention the number of victims as 750,000 (Raul Hilberg),¹⁷ or as 870,000 (*Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*).¹⁸

The last named standard work, the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, contains a summary of the official picture of the Treblinka camp, which we reproduce in the following excerpt:¹⁹

“[Treblinka] was situated in a sparsely populated area near Malkinia, a railway station on the main Warsaw-Białystok line; the camp’s precise location was 2.5 miles (4 km) northwest of the village and railway stop of Treblinka. The site selected was heavily wooded and well hidden from view. A penal camp, known as Treblinka I, had been set up nearby in 1941; Poles and Jews were imprisoned there, working in quarries^[20] from which they extracted materials used in the construction of fortifications on the German-Soviet border.^[21] The extermination camp was established as part of AKTION REINHARD [Operation Reinhardt]; work on it began in late May and early June of 1942 and was completed on July 22 of that year. The project was carried out by German firms, using inmates of Treblinka I and Jews brought in from neighboring towns. In addition to the camp structures and gas chambers, a branch railway track, leading from the camp to the nearby railway station, was constructed. Huge pits were dug within the camp grounds to be used as mass graves.

The camp was laid out in a rectangle 1,312 feet wide by 1,968 feet long (400 x 600 m), [...].

The extermination area, called the ‘upper camp’ by the Germans, was in the southeastern part. Covering an area of 656 by 820 feet (200 x 250 m), it was completely fenced in and separated from the rest of the camp. In this area was a brick building containing three gas chambers, each measuring 13 by 13 feet (4 x 4 m). An adjoining shed housed a diesel engine that produced the carbon monoxide for the chambers. The gas was introduced by way of pipes attached to the ceilings of the gas chambers that ended in what looked like shower heads, to create the impression that the chambers were merely bathhouses. In the building a hallway led to each of the three gas chambers; inside each, facing the entrance, was a second door through which the dead bodies were removed. At a distance of 492 to 656 feet (150-200 m) from the gas chambers, to the east of the building, lay

¹⁷ Raul Hilberg, *The Destruction of the European Jews*, 3 vols., Holmes & Meier, New York 1985, p. 893, 1219.

¹⁸ Israel Gutman (ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, 4 vols., Macmillan, New York 1990, vol. 4, p. 1486.

¹⁹ *Ibid.*, pp. 1481-87.

²⁰ More correct would be: ‘In a gravel pit.’

²¹ The last half sentence was omitted in the German version, vol. 1, p. 1427, as there was no German-Soviet border during that time. The German version also correctly states that the camp was built under the aegis of the “SS-Zentralbauleitung Warschau.”

the huge trenches in which the bodies were interred. A narrow path, fenced in on each side and camouflaged with tree branches, led from the reception area to the extermination area. It was along this path, nicknamed the 'pipe,' or 'tube' (Schlauch), that the Jews, now naked, were driven to the gas chambers.

The camp's first commander was SS-Obersturmführer Irmfried Eberl. In August 1942 he was replaced by SS-Obersturmführer Franz STANGL, the former commander of Sobibór. The German staff, numbering between 20 and 30 SS men, all of whom had taken part in the EUTHANASIA PROGRAM, held the command and administrative positions in the camp. A Ukrainian company consisting of 90 to 120 men served as camp guards and security personnel. They had the tasks of ensuring that no Jews would escape and of quashing any attempt at resistance. Some of the Ukrainians were given other duties, including the operation of the gas chambers. Most of them were Soviet prisoners of war who had volunteered to serve the Germans and had been enlisted and trained for their duties at the TRAWNIKI camp. [22...]

Groups of Jewish prisoners were employed on construction work as well, which proceeded even while the extermination process was in operation. They were also kept busy cutting tree branches in the adjoining woods and using them for camouflage, as well as on other jobs. These prisoners were taken from the incoming transports, put to work for a few days or weeks at the most, and then selected out and killed, their places taken by new arrivals. [...]

The Treblinka extermination process was based on experience the Germans had gained in the BELŻEC and Sobibór camps. An incoming train, generally consisting of fifty to sixty cars (containing a total of six thousand to seven thousand persons), first came to a stop in the Treblinka village railway station. Twenty of the cars were brought into the camp, while the rest waited behind in the station. As each part of a transport was due to enter the camp, reinforced Ukrainian guard detachments took up position on the camp railway platform and in the reception area. When the cars came to a stop, the doors were opened and SS men ordered the Jews to get out.

A camp officer then announced to the arrivals that they had come to a transit camp from which they were going to be dispersed to various labor camps; for hygienic reasons, they would now take showers and have their clothes disinfected. Any money and valuables in their possession were to be handed over for safekeeping and would be returned to them after they had been to the showers. Following this announcement, the Jews were ordered into the 'deportation square.'

²² The Trawniki camp, situated southeast of Lublin, served among other purposes as a training site for the concentration camp personnel.

At the entrance to the square, the men were ordered into a barrack on the right and the women and children to the left. This had to be done on the run, with the guards shouting at them, driving them on, and beating them. The women and children were made to enter a barrack on the left side of the square, where they had to undress. Beginning in the fall of 1942, the women's hair was shorn at this point, behind a partition that was put up for this purpose. From the barrack, they entered, naked, the 'pipe' that led to the gas chambers. Women and children were gassed first, while the men were kept in the deportation square, standing naked and waiting until their turn came to enter the 'pipe.' Once the victims were locked inside the gas chambers, which had the appearance of shower rooms, the diesel engine was started and the carbon monoxide poured in. In less than thirty minutes, all had died of asphyxiation. Their bodies were removed and taken to the trenches for burial. [...]

A group of two hundred to three hundred, kept apart from the other Jewish prisoners, was employed in the extermination area, on such tasks as removing the corpses from the gas chambers, cleaning the chambers, extracting the victims' gold teeth, and burying their bodies. When the practice of cremating the bodies was introduced in the spring of 1943, with the aim of removing all traces of the mass murder that had been committed in Treblinka, this group of prisoners was charged with the task.

The Germans soon realized – as they previously had at Bełżec and Sobibór – that the bottleneck in the extermination process at Treblinka was the limited capacity of the gas chambers, which covered an area of no more than 57 square yards (48 sq m). It was therefore decided to increase the number of gas chambers, and ten more were built between the end of August and the beginning of October 1942, with a total area of 383 square yards (320 sq m). They were inside a brick building that had a hallway down the center and five doors on each side, each door leading to a gas chamber. A second door in each chamber could be opened only from the outside and was used to remove the corpses. The capacity of the new gas chambers was more than sufficient for the entire human load of twenty railway cars at one time. [...]

The mass extermination program at Treblinka went into effect on July 23, 1942, and the first transports to reach the camp were made up of Jews from the Warsaw ghetto. Between that date and September 21, 254,000 Jews from Warsaw and 112,000 from other places in the Warsaw district were murdered at Treblinka, making a total of 366,000 from the district. From the Radom district 337,000 Jews were murdered, and from the Lublin district 35,000, most of them before the winter of 1942-1943. [...] From the Białystok district, over 107,000 Jews were taken to Treblinka to be killed, most of them between November 1942 and January 1943.

Jews from outside Poland were also killed at Treblinka. From Slovakia, 7,000 Jews who had first been deported to ghettos in the Generalgouvernement were murdered in the summer and fall of 1942; from THERESIENSTADT, five transports brought 8,000 Jews in the period from October 5 to October 25, 1942. From GREECE, over 4,000 Jews who had first been deported from their homes in THRACE to Bulgaria came in the latter half of March 1943; and from MACEDONIA, the part of Yugoslavia that Bulgaria had annexed, 7,000 Jews were murdered in Treblinka at the end of March and the beginning of April 1943. From Salonika, at least one transport of 2,800 Jews came at the end of March 1943.

A total of 29,000 Jews from countries other than Poland were murdered at Treblinka. Two thousand GYPSIES as well were among the victims there. The mass extermination program continued until April 1943, after which only a few isolated transports arrived; the camp had fulfilled its function.

In late February and early March of 1943, Heinrich HIMMLER visited Treblinka; following this visit, in accordance with his orders, an operation was launched to burn the bodies of the victims. The mass graves were opened and the corpses were taken out, to be consumed by the flames of huge pyres (the 'roasts'). The bones were crushed and, together with the ashes, were reburied in the same graves. This burning of corpses in an effort to obliterate traces of the killings was continued until the end of July 1943. On its completion, the camp was shut down, in the fall of 1943. A total of 870,000 people had been murdered there. [...]

Several efforts at resistance were made in Treblinka, both by individuals and by entire transports, [...] At the beginning of 1943, a resistance group was formed among the inmates. [...]

When the burning of the bodies was nearing completion and it was clear that both the camp and the prisoners were about to be liquidated, the leaders of the underground resolved that the uprising must not be postponed any longer. A date and time were fixed: the afternoon of August 2, 1943. [...] those resistance members who had arms in their hands opened fire at the SS men and set some of the camp buildings on fire. Masses of prisoners now tried to storm the fence and escape from the camp; they were fired at from all the watchtowers and most of them were hit, falling in or near the fence area. Those who succeeded in getting out of the camp were apprehended and shot by additional German security forces who had been alerted to the scene and, pursuing the escaped prisoners, combed the surrounding area. Of the approximately seven hundred and fifty prisoners who had tried to make their escape, seventy survived to see liberation.

Most of the camp structures, except for the gas chambers, were made of wood and went up in flames. Of the prisoners who were left, some were killed on the spot, while the rest were made to demolish the remaining structures and fences and obliterate the traces of the activities that had

taken place at the camp. When this work was over, these prisoners too were shot. The grounds were plowed under and trees were planted; the camp was turned into a farm, and a Ukrainian peasant family was settled there."

Such is the account of the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*.

We have set ourselves the task of subjecting the portrait of the 'extermination camp' of Treblinka as summarized above to a critical examination, and should it not stand up to this examination, of offering an alternative thesis regarding the real function of the camp.

Jürgen Graf is responsible for the Introduction, Chapter 1, Chapter 5, and the Conclusions. Carlo Mattogno bears responsibility for all of the remaining chapters.

We express our sincere gratitude to Prof. Robert Faurisson, Mark Weber, and Dr. Miroslav Dragan for sending important material and/or suggestions regarding publications germane to our subject.

It was originally planned to include the results of the ground radar investigations in this book as carried out at Treblinka by Engineer Richard Krege. However, in view of the significance of the subject as well as the expansion of the ground radar investigations to the camps Belzec and Auschwitz, it was decided to treat this topic in a work of its own, which will be published by the same publishers as this work.

Our book is dedicated to our late friend, certified engineer Arnulf Neumaier, a tireless fighter for the historical truth, whose article "*The Treblinka Holocaust*," published in the anthology *Dissecting the Holocaust*, represents a milestone in the scientific research of this camp.

Part 1:
Treblinka: A Critical Analysis
of the Official Version

Chapter I: The Description of Treblinka in Historiography

1. Treblinka in Orthodox ‘Holocaust’ Literature

An historian normally makes a sharp distinction between books of non-fiction and novels. In the case of the orthodox literature on Treblinka, *i.e.*, that supporting the thesis of the mass extermination in gas chambers, this distinction is hardly possible: even advocates of the official account of Treblinka who lay claim to scholarship must, in view of the total absence of material and documentary evidence, necessarily rely upon witness testimony, the value of which will be dramatically demonstrated to the reader by the following examples. For this reason, we have forsworn any attempt at making such a distinction in our chronological overview of the most important works, which have appeared since 1945 and are dedicated entirely or in part to the Treblinka camp.

a. Vassili Grossmann

We begin with the publication *Treblinka Ad* (The Hell of Treblinka) by the Soviet-Jewish author Vassili Grossmann (also spelled Vassili Grossman), which appeared in 1945. The work was not available to us in book form, but rather in the form of a marked-up manuscript that we found in a Russian archive.²³ It is not dated, but it emerges from the context that it must have originated at the end of 1944 or beginning of 1945. A French²⁴ as well as a Polish²⁵ version appeared in 1945 under the titles *L'enfer de Treblinka* and *Piekło Treblinka*, respectively. Likewise, a German version followed in 1945 in a book, which also contained a report by Konstantin Simonov on Majdanek,²⁶ a

²³ GARF 7021-115-8, pp. 168-203.

²⁴ V. Grossman, *L'enfer de Treblinka*, B. Arthaud, Grenoble and Paris 1945. The text is also found in: *Le Livre Noir, Textes et témoignages*, Ilja Ehrenburg, Vassili Grossman (eds.), Actes Sudes, Arles 1995, pp. 868-903. The latter book represents the translation of a Russian original, the publication of which was prohibited by the Soviet government in 1947 and which was first published in 1993 in Vilnius (Lithuania).

²⁵ Published by Wydawnictwo Literatura Polska, Kattowitz 1945.

²⁶ *Die Vernichtungslager Maidanek und Treblinka*, Stern-Verlag, Vienna 1945.

second German edition, reproduced in part by Udo Walendy in no. 44 of his *Historische Tatsachen*,²⁷ was published in 1946.

A comparison of the Russian manuscript with the foreign-language translations shows that the latter are somewhat longer. Thus the Russian book version, upon which these translations are based, is an expansion of the manuscript in question.

Grossmann's work represents a classic example of atrocity propaganda. We now cite some excerpts from the German 1945 edition.²⁶

"In Treblinka there were two camps: the labor camp No. 1, in which prisoners of various nationalities, above all Poles, worked, and camp No. 2, the Jewish camp.

Camp No. 1 – the work or concentration camp – was located directly next to the sand pit, at the edge of the forest. It was a camp like those, which were established by the hundreds and thousands in the occupied eastern territories by the Gestapo. It began in 1941. As if reduced to one common denominator, the traits of the German character, grotesquely disfigured by the frightful fun house mirror of the Hitler regime, are combined in it. [p. 27f...]

The No. 1 Camp existed from autumn of 1941 [until] July 23, 1944. It was completely liquidated while the prisoners were already in earshot of the dull boom of the Soviet artillery. Early in the morning of July 23, the guard unit and the SS people proceeded to exterminate the camp after they had fortified themselves with schnapps. By the evening all of the prisoners had been murdered – murdered and buried. The Warsaw cabinetmaker Max Lewit was able to save himself, because lying wounded underneath the corpses of his comrades, he had waited for the darkness and crept into the forest. He told how he heard the singing of thirty boys in the pit who struck up the tune 'Song of the Fatherland' before being shot to death; he heard one of the youngsters yell: 'Stalin will avenge us!' He heard the camp favorite Leib, who had led the boys, get up again after falling down into the pit after the volley hit him, and ask: 'Pan^[28] Guard, they missed; please, once more Pan Guard, once more!' [p. 29...]

We know the names of the camp SS men, their characters, idiosyncrasies, we know the camp commander van Eipen, a half-Dutch German, who is an insane murderer, an insatiable libertine, a lover of good horses and fast riding jaunts. [...]

We know the one-eyed German Swiderski from Odessa, the 'Master Hammerer,' who was regarded as the unsurpassable specialist of 'dry murder,' because within a few minutes he killed with a hammer fifteen children between the ages of eight and thirteen years, who had been desig-

²⁷ "Der Fall Treblinka," Verlag für Volkstum und Zeitgeschichtsforschung, Vlotho 1990.

²⁸ Polish form of address corresponding to English "Sir" or "Mister" – Translator's note.

nated as unfit for labor. We know the skinny, gypsy-like SS man Preifi, nicknamed 'the Old Man,' a taciturn grouch. He sought to dispel his melancholy by sitting behind the place where the camp slops were dumped; he stalked the prisoners who sneaked back there to secretly eat potato peels and forced them to open their mouths and then shot into those widely opened mouths. We know the names of the professional murderers Schwarz and Ledecke. They took delight in shooting at the prisoners returning home in the twilight and murdered twenty of them a day. Thirty, forty men. [p. 29f.]

Such was life in this camp, similar to a miniature Majdanek, and it might seem that there could be nothing worse in the world. But the inmates of Camp No. 1 knew quite well that there was something worse, something a hundred times more horrible than their own camp. Three kilometers from the labor camp, the Germans began in May 1942 the construction of the Jewish camp – the human slaughterhouse. [p. 31...]

For thirteen months the trains came to Treblinka, each train consisting of sixty cars, and on each car a number was written with chalk: one hundred fifty – one hundred eighty – two hundred. These figures indicated the number of people who were in the car. Train workers and peasants secretly counted the trains. One peasant from the village of Wulka (the community situated closest to the camp), the sixty-two-year-old Kazimierz Skarzinski, told me that there were days when six trains ran past Wulka alone, over the Siedlce railway, and that there wasn't one day during the course of these thirteen months that at least one of these trains didn't come through. But the Siedlce line is just one of the four train lines which supplied Treblinka. Lucian Cukowa, a railway repair worker, who the Germans had mobilized for work on the branch line that goes from Treblinka to Camp No. 2, relates that during his work from June 15, 1942, until August 1943, one to three trains came every day from Treblinka Station to the camp over this secondary line. Every train consisted of sixty cars and in each car were no fewer than one hundred fifty persons. We have collected dozens of such statements. Even if we reduced all the numbers, as given by the witnesses, of all those who were on the trains to Treblinka by about a factor of two, the number of people brought to Treblinka within thirteen months nevertheless amounts to approximately three million. [p. 31f.]

In the report on this last tragic train, all witnesses mention the atrocities of an anthropoid creature, the SS man Zepf. He specialized in the murder of children. This creature, who had at his disposal enormous strength, suddenly grabbed a child from out of the crowd and, after he had swung him through the air like a club, smashed in his skull on the ground or tore him right in two. When I heard of this monster, obviously born of a woman, it seemed to me unthinkable and improbable that the acts that were told of him could be true. But after I had personally heard these reports repeat-

edly from direct eyewitnesses, I saw that they spoke of them as of details, which were neither unusual nor inconsistent with the entire structure of the Hell of Treblinka, and I believed in the possibility of such a creature. [p. 43...]

The dimensions of the first three chambers were only five by five meters, which means that each had twenty-five square meters. Each chamber was one hundred ninety centimeters high. It had two doors; one admitted the living, the other served for bringing out the gassed corpses. This second door was very wide, approximately two and a half meters. The chambers were mounted upon a common foundation. These three chambers did not correspond to the productive capacity demanded by Berlin in assembly-line murder. [...]

The seven hundred prisoners worked five weeks long on the structure of the new large-scale murder business. When the work had reached its peak, an expert came with his staff from Germany and took care of setting things up. The new chambers, ten in all, were symmetrically arranged on either side of a concrete corridor. [...]

The new chambers were each seven by eight meters, or fifty-six square meters in area. The total surface area of these ten chambers amounted to five hundred sixty square meters, and if one added to this the area of the three old chambers, which were put into service at the arrival of small parties, then Treblinka had at its disposal a total of usable lethal surface area of six hundred thirty-five square meters. Four hundred sixty to five hundred people were squeezed into one chamber at a time. When fully loaded, therefore, the ten chambers during one operation annihilated an average of four thousand five hundred people. At their most typical loading, the chambers of the Hell of Treblinka were filled at least two or three times every day (there were days when this happened five times). If we intentionally reduce the figures, we are able to calculate that, with a usage of only twice per day of just the new chambers, approximately ten thousand people were murdered in Treblinka on a single day, and about three hundred thousand in a month. Treblinka operated for thirteen months, day after day, but if we allow even ninety days to be deducted for repairs, idleness, untypical transports, there are ten full months of operation. If in one month an average of three hundred thousand people arrive, then within ten months Treblinka exterminated three million people. [p. 47f...]

The duty of the writer is to report a terrible truth, and the citizen's duty as a reader is to learn it. Anyone who turns away, closes his eyes and walks by, desecrates the memory of the murdered. Whoever does not know the whole truth can never grasp against what foe, what monstrosity, the great Red Army took up the deadly struggle. [p. 55...]

We entered the camp of Treblinka at the beginning of September [1944], which was thirteen months after the day of the revolt. For thirteen

months the Germans had tried to erase the traces of their work. [...] And the earth, giving way under one's feet, is fatty and swollen, as if it had been soaked in a surfeit of linseed oil; the unsolid earth of Treblinka wells up like an eddying sea. This wasteland, surrounded by barbed wire fencing, has consumed more human lives than entire oceans and seas of the globe since the existence of the human race." (p. 61f.)

In his edifying report Grossmann writes that there were three methods of mass killing: gassing, scalding with hot steam, and suffocation by evacuation of the death chamber by means of vacuum pumps. We shall return to this theme in the following chapter. In any case, the second as well as the third murder method very soon took their leave from history; only the gas chambers have remained. The number of Treblinka victims, postulated several times by Grossmann as three million, was also dropped at that time as being obviously all too incredible, and in the publications that followed writers contented themselves with significantly lower numbers of victims.

b. Rachel Auerbach

In 1946, the Polish Jewess Rachel Auerbach, who had not been interned in Treblinka herself but had supposedly received her information from former inmates, wrote a work in the Yiddish language about the camp, which was published in 1979 in English by Alexander Donat under the title *In the Fields of Treblinka*.²⁹ Auerbach attacked Grossmann on the basis of the number of three million victims claimed by him. She gives the number of victims with precision as 1,074,000 and writes:

"Such places as Treblinka, with their huge mass graves, do not need that sort of odd local patriotism which is expressed by exaggerating the number of victims in order to depict the monstrosity of the mass murders committed there. Believe me, over a million people killed in the course of one year in one little place is a million times more than a million human brains could grasp. And even half a million would be much more than enough." (p. 55)

This praiseworthy refusal to indulge in any kind of exaggeration aside, the authoress reports, among other things, the following concerning Treblinka:

"The floor of the gas chambers was sloping and slippery. The first ones in would slip and fall, never to rise again. Those who followed would topple over them. The chamber was packed to the brim. The people were jammed together so closely that they pushed each other into a standing position. Some witnesses report that the people inside the chambers had to raise their arms and pull in their stomachs so that more could be fitted in. And then, when they stood pressed together, little children were slipped in above their heads like so many bundles.

²⁹ Rachel Auerbach, "In the Fields of Treblinka," in: A. Donat, *op. cit.* (note 4), pp. 19-73.

Gas was costly and therefore had to be used economically.

At last, the doors were slammed shut.

The shift was ready to die.

The motor, installed in a workshop near the bathhouse, could be started now. First, a suction pump was brought into play to draw the pure air from the chamber. After that, the pipes to the reservoir of exhaust gas from the motor could be opened.

'A few minutes later,' Jews who had worked in that part of the camp recalled, 'we would hear terrible screams from that building.' The screams of human pain, terror and despair. At the last moment, it seems, when the pumps started to suck out breathable air, all self-control broke, and there was an outbreak of collective hysteria inside the gas chamber.

Later... in due time... all was quiet again...

Perhaps 25 to 45 minutes later, the chutes on the other side could be opened and the corpses tumbled out. The bodies were naked; some of them were white, others were blue and bloated. [p. 35f...]

Therefore, in Treblinka as in other places, children were often thrown live into the fire, or into the regular mass grave. The most important consideration was to conserve bullets or gas whenever possible. It was also believed that children did not die as easily and quickly from a bullet or from gas as adults did. Doctors had given some thought to this matter, and they had concluded that children have better circulation because their blood vessels were not yet hardened." (p. 38f.)

Auerbach surprises her readers with trail-blazing scientific findings such as the discovery that blood "*was found to be a first-class combustion material*" (p. 38), and imparts the information that the gassing of many thousands of Jews per day was conducted by "*30 to 40 SS-men, and 200 to 300 Ukrainian guards,*"³⁰ by which she provides a record not especially flattering to her co-religionists. Concerning the artistic events and other diversions in Treblinka, she has the following to say:

"In order to enliven the monotony of their murderous work, the Germans installed at Treblinka a Jewish orchestra. This was in keeping with the established procedure in other camps. This orchestra had a twofold purpose: first, to drown out, as much as that was possible, the screams and moans of the people being driven to their death in the gas chambers; the second, to provide musical entertainment for the camp staff, who represented two music-loving nations – Germany and the Ukraine! A band was needed also for the frequent entertainments, which were arranged here. In time, a choir was organized, and there were even amateur theater performances, but unfortunately the outbreak of the uprising frustrated the

³⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 40.

great plans for the advancement of culture and art, which had been concocted for Treblinka. [p. 44...]

It is said that when Himmler visited Treblinka late in February, 1943 a special 'attraction' should be prepared for him: a party of young women, who had been specially selected for this purpose so that the supreme SS and police chief of the Reich could take aesthetic pleasure in looking at their nude bodies as they demonstrated the workings of the camp, being driven into the 'bathhouse' and then dumped out as corpses...

As the Italian saying goes: 'Se non è vero, è ben trovato.'" (p. 48)

The last sentence means: "Even if it's not true, it's well invented." This applies in full compass to Rachel Auerbach's 'factual report' about Treblinka.

c. Zdzisław Łukaszkiewicz

Likewise in the year 1946, there appeared in the bulletin of the Main Commission for the Investigation of German Crimes in Poland³¹ a thirty-page article by Judge Zdzisław Łukaszkiewicz under the title "*Obóz zagłady Treblinka*"³² (The Extermination Camp Treblinka). In the introduction to this work, in which the "*preliminary investigations*" are described, the author states:

"The basis of the evidentiary material, upon which the preliminary investigations rest as represented in the following, is above all the witness statements of thirteen Jews, former prisoners of the camp Treblinka, who managed to escape death by the fact that during the armed revolt of August 2, 1943, they fled the camp. The following are the witnesses: Jankiel Wiernik, Henryk Poswolski, Aron Czechowicz, Abe Kon, Oskar Strawczyński, Samuel Reisman^[33], Aleksander Kudlik, Hejnoch Brenner, Stanisław Kon, Eugeniusz Turowski, Henryk Reichman^[34], Szyja Warszawski and Leon Finkelsztejn."

As supplementary evidence, Łukaszkiewicz mentions the statements of eleven Polish railway workers as well as railroad records, from which the number of prisoners brought to Treblinka supposedly derive, and coins and documents excavated from the grounds of the camp as well as the results of forensic investigations and land surveys.³⁵ But the declarations of the thirteen

³¹ Out of consideration for the allied Communist East German state later renamed 'Main Commission for the Investigation of Hitler Crimes in Poland' and, after the collapse of the Communist regime, 'Main Commission for the Prosecution of Crimes against the Polish People.'

³² Zdzisław Łukaszkiewicz, "*Obóz zagłady Treblinka*," in *Biuletyn Głównej Komisji Badania Zbrodni Niemieckich w Polsce*, no. 1, Posen 1946, pp. 133-144.

³³ More often spelled as Rajman.

³⁴ This witness later called himself Yehiel Reichman(n). He appeared as a prosecution witness against John Demjanjuk at the Jerusalem trial. Cf. Chapter V.

³⁵ Z. Łukaszkiewicz, *op. cit.* (note 32), p. 133.

Jewish witnesses are the sole basis of proof for the claimed mass extermination.

According to Łukaszkiwicz, there were *two*³⁶ gas chambers in Treblinka in the beginning, to which ten more were added later. The murders were committed with engine exhaust gas. The bodies were burned under the open sky; 2,500 were placed upon open grates at a time and turned into ashes. In the camp hospital, the Germans and their Ukrainian assistants killed large numbers of prisoners by a shot in the back of the neck; old people, the infirm, and children without relatives were led off directly after their arrival at the hospital and shot. At least 731,600 people were murdered in Treblinka, but the total number of victims was in reality higher.³⁷

In the same year, therefore still in 1946, Z. Łukaszkiwicz compiled a significantly more detailed report about the camp under the title “*Obóz straceń w Treblince*” (The Execution Camp in Treblinka), which to some extent marked the transition from pure atrocity propaganda à la Grossmann and Auerbach to an account, which purported to be scientific.³⁸ Accordingly, the style is also much more sober than is the case with Grossmann and Auerbach, and descriptions of patent impossibilities are rarer. Nevertheless, this work also bears the quite unmistakable stamp of propaganda and possesses but slight scientific value. Łukaszkiwicz tersely gives the number of victims of the camp as 800,000; nearly all of the murdered were Jews, but a certain number of Poles and Gypsies were also killed.³⁹

The details given by Łukaszkiwicz correspond in all essential points to the Treblinka version endorsed by the Western orthodox ‘Holocaust’ historians.

d. Nachman Blumental

An eighteen-page report about Treblinka also appeared in Poland in 1946, part of a collection of documents titled *Dokumenty i Materiały* and edited by N. Blumental, a member of the Jewish Central Historical Commission.⁴⁰ The report consists of an introduction as well as reports of two former Jewish prisoners of the camp, Szymon Goldberg and Samuel Rajzman. We will later cite excerpts from these reports.

e. Marian Muszkat

In 1948, one Marian Muszkat prepared a ‘documentation’ in Poland for the UN Commission for the Investigation of War Crimes, in which Treblinka was

³⁶ Later *three* gas chambers were spoken of at first.

³⁷ Z. Łukaszkiwicz, *op. cit.* (note 32), p. 142.

³⁸ Z. Łukaszkiwicz, *Obóz straceń w Treblince*, Państwowy Instytut Wydawniczy, Warsaw 1946.

³⁹ See Chapter III c.

⁴⁰ “*Treblinka*,” in: Wydawnictwo Centralnej Żydowskiej Komisji Historycznej (ed.), *Dokumenty i Materiały*. Tom I: *Obozy*, revised by N. Blumental, Lodz 1946, pp. 173-195.

mentioned and in which several former inmates of the camp were quoted.⁴¹ As a sample we quote here an excerpt from the ‘experience report’ by witness Jan Sulkowski.⁴²

“The Germans built a so-called ‘death-bridge’ which consisted of a scaffold 3-4 metres high. The German [Lampert] picked out some Jews and ordered them to climb on to the bridge. During the climbing the Jews were shot at. If there was a Jew who, by some miracle, succeeded in climbing right to the top he would be ordered to take off the shoes and to hold them over his head. This acrobatic trick was very difficult to perform as the whole scaffold was rocking. Then the Jew would be shot. I saw myself the SS men indulging in this ‘innocent’ game.”

Eyewitness Leon Finkelsztein relates:⁴³

“Bilitz Alfred and Gens Adolf stood at the entrance to the gas chambers and cut women’s breasts off with long knives.”

Two further eyewitnesses, Hejnoch Brenner and Zygmunt Blacherski, had also seen how Bilitz cut off women’s breasts.⁴³ – At the Jerusalem trial of John Demjanjuk, there was no more mention of Alfred Bilitz and Adolf Gens; it was the defendant Demjanjuk who was accused of having stood at the entrance of the gas chamber(s) and hacking off the breasts of women by choice with a dagger or a sword whenever he pleased.⁴⁴

After the appearance of this ‘documentation,’ there was a long period of silence insofar as the topic of Treblinka was concerned, and for a full 18 years indeed, no book, not even a noteworthy article, appeared about the camp. It was not until 1966, after the great Frankfurt Auschwitz trial, that eyewitnesses and chroniclers again announced their intention to be heard.

f. Jean-François Steiner

In 1966, the French-Jewish author Jean-François Steiner – with the assistance of the ghost-writer Gilles Perrault – published a novel⁴⁵ allegedly based upon the statements of former prisoners with the title *Treblinka*,⁴⁶ which represents a most particularly repulsive example of the copious gutter literature shaped by pathological fantasies about National Socialist concentration

⁴¹ *Polish Charges against German War Criminals*, submitted to the United Nations War Crimes Commission by Dr. Marian Muszkat, Warsaw 1948. Treblinka is discussed on pp. 187-196.

⁴² *Ibid.*, p. 194.

⁴³ *Ibid.*, p. 195.

⁴⁴ See Chapter V.

⁴⁵ Steiner admitted 20 years after the first publication of *Treblinka* that his book amounts to a novel and that the novelist Gilles Perrault helped him with his written record (*Le journal du dimanche*, March 30, 1986). Reference from Robert Faurisson.

⁴⁶ Published by Librairie Arthème Fayard, Paris.

camps, but which has nonetheless been highly rated by prominent figures like Simone de Beauvoir.

An English translation was published the following year under the title *Treblinka*.⁴⁷ A passage, in which Steiner describes the burning of bodies in Treblinka, may serve as a sample:⁴⁸

“Blonde and slight, with a gentle face and a retiring manner, he arrived one fine morning with his little suitcase at the gates of the kingdom of death. His name was Herbert Floss, and he was a specialist in the cremation of bodies. [...]

The first bonfire was prepared the next day. Herbert Floss then revealed his secret: all the bodies did not burn at the same rate; there were good bodies and bad bodies, fire-resistant bodies and inflammable bodies. The art consisted in using the good ones to burn the bad ones. According to his investigations – and judging from the results, they were very thorough – the old bodies burned better than the new ones, the fat ones better than the thin ones, the women better than the men, and the children not as well as the women but better than the men. It was evident that the ideal body was the old body of a fat woman. Floss had these put aside. Then he had the men and children sorted too. When a thousand bodies had been dug up and sorted in this way, he proceeded to the loading, with the good fuel underneath and the bad above. He refused gasoline and sent for wood. His demonstration was going to be perfect. The wood was arranged under the grill of the pyre in little piles which resembled camp fires. The moment of truth had come. He was solemnly handed a box of matches. He bent down, lit the first fire, then the others, and as the wood began to catch fire he walked back with his odd gait to the group of officials who were waiting a little way away.

The mounting flames began to lick at the bodies, gently at first, then with a steady force like the flame of a blow torch. Everyone held his breath, the Germans anxious and impatient, the prisoners dismayed and terrified. Only Floss seemed relaxed; very sure of himself, he was muttering abstractedly, ‘Tadello, tadello...’ The bodies burst into flames. Suddenly the flames shot up, releasing a cloud of smoke, a deep roar arose, the faces of the dead twisted with pain and the flesh crackled. The spectacle had an infernal quality and even the S.S. men remained petrified for a few moments, contemplating the marvel. Floss beamed. This fire was the finest day of his life.

When they had recovered from their stupor, the Germans gave expression to their joy and gratitude. Herbert Floss became a hero. An event like this had to be celebrated in a worthy manner. The Germans sent for tables,

⁴⁷ Jean-François Steiner, *Treblinka*, Simon and Schuster, New York 1967.

⁴⁸ *Ibid.*, pp. 352-355.

which were set up opposite the funeral pyre and covered with dozens of bottles of liquor, wine and beer. The dying day reflected the high flames of the funeral pyre, the sky glowed at the end of the plain where the sun was disappearing with a show of fire.

At a nod from Lalka, the corks popped. An extraordinary party began. The first toast was made to the Führer. The operators of the excavators had returned to their machines. When the S.S. men raised their glasses noisily, the excavators seemed to come to life and suddenly flung their long jointed arms toward the sky in a throbbing and jolting Nazi salute. It was like a signal; ten times the men raised their arms, each time shouting ‘Heil Hitler.’ The manlike machines returned the salute of the machinelike men, and the air rang with shouts of glory to the Führer. The party lasted until the funeral pyre was entirely consumed. After the toasts came the songs, savage and cruel, songs of hatred, songs of fury, songs of glory to Germany the eternal.”

Even one hundred percent loyal advocates of the orthodox ‘Holocaust’ image have grasped that this sort of statement undermines the credibility of their position. Thus fourteen years later, the French Jew Pierre Vidal-Naquet, who in the beginning had expressed his “*admiration*” for Steiner’s book,⁴⁹ suddenly spoke of “*sub-literature*” appealing to sadism and admitted that he had “*walked into the snare set by J.-F. Steiner.*”⁵⁰ Another French critic, Didier Daeningckx, devastatingly described Steiner’s book as “*a false novel, which is presented as true*” and which makes use of the “*technique of parallel montage.*”⁵¹

g. Krystyna Marczevska/Władysław Ważniewski

In 1968, an article appeared from the pens of two Polish historians, K. Marczevska and W. Ważniewski, on the Polish resistance movement’s knowledge regarding Treblinka during the war.⁵² The reports of the resistance published here are most valuable indeed, as they help us in reconstructing how the official image of Treblinka evolved. We shall continuously refer to this in Chapter II.

⁴⁹ “*Treblinka et l’Honneur des Juifs,*” *Le Monde*, May 2, 1966. Reference from R. Faurisson.

⁵⁰ *Esprit*, September 1980. Reference from R. Faurisson.

⁵¹ “*De Treblinka à Bordeaux,*” *Revue de la Shoa*, May-August 1999. Reference from R. Faurisson.

⁵² Krystyna Marczevska, Władysław Ważniewski, “*Treblinka w swietle Akt Delegatury Rządu RP na Kraji*” (Treblinka in Light of the Documents of the Home Government Delegation of the Polish Republic) in: *Biuletyn Głównej Komisji Badania Zbrodni Hitlerowskich w Polsce*, vol. XIX, Warsaw 1968, pp. 129-164.

h. Martin Gray

In 1971, the Polish-born French Jew Martin Gray published a book entitled *Au nom de tous les miens*⁵³ (In the name of all of mine), in which he describes, *inter alia*, an alleged stay in Treblinka. Gray's ghostwriter was his co-religionist Max Gallo, who interviewed this 'Treblinka survivor' and put his statements down on paper. In his introduction, Gallo wrote:⁵⁴

"We saw each other every day for months. [...] I questioned him; I made tape recordings; I observed him; I verified things; I listened to his voice and to his silences. I discovered the modesty of this man and his indomitable determination. I measured in his flesh the savagery and barbarism of the century that had produced Treblinka. [...] I rewrote, confronted the facts, sketched in the background, attempted to re-create the atmosphere."

As fruit of the collaboration between Gallo and Gray, a book emerged with passages such as the following:⁵⁵

"Sometimes we found living children among the warm bodies. Little children, still alive, clinging to their mothers' bodies. We strangled them with our own hands before throwing them into the grave. And we risked our lives doing it because we were wasting time. The butchers wanted everything to happen fast."

After Gray had survived Treblinka and the war in a miraculous manner, he emigrated to the USA, where, as he relates in his book, he became wealthy from the sale of fake antiques. After the publication of the English version of his book, he was – according to Robert Faurisson – "*suspected of fabricating false memoirs, just as he had produced false antiques, in both instances not without the help of others and naturally for money.*"⁵⁶ Even anti-revisionist authors like the French Jew Eric Conan, who speaks of a work "*well-known to all historians of this epoch as fraudulent,*"⁵⁷ have castigated M. Gray's hack-work as a blatant falsification, but this does not change the fact that this unspeakable piece of trash – exactly like that of J.-F. Steiner – keeps reappearing in new editions in France and Germany.

i. Gitta Sereny

In 1974, the Hungarian-born British journalist Gitta Sereny published a book entitled *Into That Darkness*,⁵⁸ which is lauded to the present day as the

⁵³ Editions Robert Laffont, Paris. English translation: *For Those I Loved*, Boston, Little, Brown 1972. The English edition is cited subsequently.

⁵⁴ *Ibid.*, Max Gallo's Foreword, pp. ix-f;

⁵⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 139.

⁵⁶ Robert Faurisson, *Ecrits révisionnistes (1974-1998)*, private edition, 1999, vol. I, p. 376.

⁵⁷ *L'Express*, February 27, 1997. Reference from R. Faurisson.

⁵⁸ McGraw-Hill, New York.

standard work dealing with Treblinka.⁵⁹ Sereny visited the second commandant of Treblinka, Franz Stangl, in prison, interviewing him several times between April and June of 1971. Stangl had been sentenced to life imprisonment by a Düsseldorf court in 1970. Sereny's book is largely based on these interviews (as well as on those with three other SS men who served at Treblinka during the war and with some former Jewish prisoners of the camp).

According to Gitta Sereny, Stangl confirmed the official picture of Treblinka in his conversations with her. But her book is totally worthless as an historical source, since the author cannot produce a trace of proof that Stangl actually made the statements ascribed to him; that is to say, a recorded protocol of the talks does not exist. On June 28, 1971, one day after Sereny's final visit, Stangl suddenly died under unexplained circumstances, and because no denial was to be expected from a dead man, the author of *Into That Darkness* was able to put into his mouth whatever pleased her.

The French revisionist Pierre Guillaume recalls a discussion conducted with G. Sereny, which he described as follows:⁶⁰

"After we had seated ourselves at the table, ordered drinks, and exchanged the usual empty civilities, this is the gist of what I said to Gitta Sereny: 'I have read your book more than once, and many passages even several times. On the first reading, one cannot doubt the truth of the statements as well as the reality of the confessions of Stangl. But the more often I went through the text, the greater became my amazement, first of all, less on the basis of what I was reading than on the basis of the obvious absence of that, which one would have expected to find. Lastly, with the repeated and very precise readings of the passages where Stangl 'confesses,' my amazement increased even more, for without exception they were written in indirect or ambiguous style, so that it became impossible to distinguish in these passages between what Stangl had said and what Gitta Sereny had said.'

I made some significant facial expressions and then, quietly looking my conversational partner in the eye and giving emphasis to every single word, I said:

'In brief: he did not confess!'

'But of course not... he couldn't do it!'

Gitta Sereny was of the opinion that she had served a therapeutic and wholesome function with respect to Stangl, in that she was helping him to relieve his conscience by a confession, which was too terrible for him to have been able to make entirely alone. [...] Stangl, in fact, died suddenly in prison, very soon indeed after his 'confessions,' although he clearly en-

⁵⁹ As in the latest German version, *Am Abgrund*, Piper, Munich 1995.

⁶⁰ Pierre Guillaume, "Les bonnes intentions dont l'enfer est pavé," in *Annales d'Histoire Révisionniste*, no. 5, Summer/Fall 1988, pp. 189f.

joyed good health and had constantly denied the crimes imputed to him. He was awaiting his [appeal] trial, from which he – and his wife – were hoping for a favorable outcome.”

We agree with Guillaume, word for word. On reading Gitta Sereny’s book one does indeed feel “*amazement on the basis of the obvious absence of that, which one would have expected to find*”: In this poor work of over 400 pages, there is, to be sure, an abundance of stupefying psychological ruminations, yet not the slightest suggestion as to the practical process of the claimed mass murders in Treblinka, such as how the gas chambers functioned or how approximately 800,000 bodies were destroyed without a trace. How can one even imagine that a prisoner hoping for a successful appeal of his verdict would suddenly ‘admit’ to a journalist everything that he is denying in his application for appeal and which must inevitably destroy his hopes for a favorable ruling? The much-vaunted ‘standard work’ about Treblinka therefore turns out to be a brazen fraud!

j. Stanisław Wojtczak

In 1975, the Pole Stanisław Wojtczak wrote a long article, the English title of which is “*The Penal and Labor Camp Treblinka I and the Extermination Center Treblinka II*” and which contains a comprehensive collection of texts about this camp.⁶¹ The work presents a detailed summary of the investigations performed by the Polish authorities. The author had entry to the archives of the Main Commission for the Investigation of Hitler Crimes in Poland, which represents the approximate counterpart to the *Zentrale Stelle der Landesjustizverwaltungen* (Central Office of the State Justice Administrations) in Ludwigsburg, Germany, and consolidates the entire documentation concerning various local judicial proceedings.

k. Adalbert Rückerl

A documentation with the title *NS-Vernichtungslager im Spiegel deutschen Strafprozesse* (National Socialist Extermination Camps in the Light of German Criminal Trials) appeared in 1977 in Germany,⁶² in which the criminal trials conducted by West German penal courts against former members of the camp staff of Treblinka, Sobibór, Belżec, and Chełmno are described. It was authored by Adalbert Rückerl, former director of the *Zentrale Stelle*. We will refer to this book several times.

⁶¹ Stanisław Wojtczak, “*Karny obóz pracy Treblinka I i osrodek zagłady Treblinka II*,” in: *Biuletyn Głównej Komisji Badania Zbrodni Hitlerowskich w Polsce*, Warsaw 1975, XXVI, pp. 117-185.

⁶² Adalbert Rückerl, *NS-Vernichtungslager im Spiegel deutscher Strafprozesse*, German version, Frankfurt 1977.

I. Alexander Donat

In 1979 in the United States, Alexander Donat edited the anthology *The Death Camp Treblinka*. In addition to the aforementioned text by Rachel Auerbach already mentioned, which had appeared in 1946 in Yiddish and was now published for the first time in English as “*The Fields of Treblinka*”, this book also contained contributions by six other authors (Abraham Krzepicki, Jankiel Wiernik, Samuel Willenberg, Tanhum Grinberg, Shalom Cohen, and Samuel Rajzman). According to the introduction written by Donat himself, these reports were composed “*without dramatization, embellishments, inventions, and hollow phrases.*”⁶³ Just how seriously this promise is to be taken is shown not only by the fact that the impossible horror report by R. Auerbach is reproduced without commentary; additionally and *inter alia*, a text of Jankiel Wiernik, which we shall discuss in detail later, is cited as a serious source. It claims:⁶³

“When corpses of pregnant women were cremated, their bellies would burst open. The fetus would be exposed and could be seen burning inside the mother’s womb.”

In a review that appeared in 1981 in the *Journal of Historical Review*, Horst Kehl had this to say about Donat’s anthology:⁶⁴

“If it is impossible to tear a child in half; [...] if it is impossible to cram people into half a square foot each; if it is impossible to use women as kindling and scoop up buckets of human fat; if it is impossible to leap over a 9 foot high fence; just what other parts of this saga are true?”

m. The Main Commission’s “*Encyclopedic Informer*”

Also in 1979, the Polish Main Commission for the Investigation of Hitler Crimes published an “*Encyclopedic informer*” on the camps and prisons existing on Polish soil during the German occupation. With respect to the camp Treblinka II, only the works of Wiernik, Grossmann, and Łukaszkiwicz are cited in the bibliography, aside from trial files, archive documents, and an article about the reports of the underground movement by Marczewska/Ważniewski.⁶⁵ This indicates that no book on Treblinka with any claim to a scientific method appeared between 1946 and 1979 in Poland, either.

⁶³ In: A. Donat, *op. cit.* (note 4), p. 170.

⁶⁴ Horst Kehl, “*‘Holocaust’ Pharmacology vs. Scientific Pharmacology*,” in: *Journal of Historical Review*, vol. 2, no. 1, Spring 1981, p. 95.

⁶⁵ Główna Komisja Badania Zbrodni Hitlerowskich w Polsce (ed.), *Obozy hitlerowski na ziemiach polskich 1939-1945. Informator encyklopedyczny*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw 1979, p. 528.

n. Eugen Kogon, Hermann Langbein, Adalbert Rückerl *et al.*

In 1983, an authors' collective directed by E. Kogon, H. Langbein, and A. Rückerl published *Nazi Mass Murder*. In the introduction, the authors rail against "those who [...] deny the killing of millions of victims by gas" and their alleged "desire to defend the Nazi system," but specify neither authors nor titles. The fact that such deniers exist, the introduction goes on to say, "is sufficient to justify our intention to set down, in a precise and indisputable manner, the historical truth."⁶⁶ This "historical truth" is "set down, in a precise and indisputable manner" mainly by means of eyewitness testimony and confessions of alleged perpetrators. One Abraham Goldfarb is a leading witness for the claimed mass murders in Treblinka, and the editors cite him as follows:⁶⁷

"On the way to the gas chambers Germans with dogs stood along the fence on both sides. The dogs had been trained to attack people; they bit the men's genitals and the women's breasts, ripping off pieces of flesh. The Germans hit the people with whips and iron bars to spur them on, so that they would press forward into the 'showers' as quickly as possible. The screams of the women could be heard far away, even in the other parts of the camp. The Germans drove the running victims on with shouts of 'Faster, faster, the water is getting cold, and others still have to take a shower!' To escape from the blows, the victims ran to the gas chambers as quickly as they could, the stronger ones pushing the weaker ones aside. At the entrance to the gas chambers stood the two Ukrainians, Ivan Demaniuk^[68] and Nikolai, one of them armed with an iron bar, the other with a sword. Even they drove the people inside with blows...

As soon as the gas chambers were full, the Ukrainians closed the doors and started the engine. Some twenty to twenty-five minutes later an SS man or a Ukrainian looked through a window in the door. When he had made sure that everyone had been asphyxiated, the Jewish prisoners had to open the doors and remove the corpses. Because the chambers were overcrowded and the victims had held on to one another, they were all standing upright and were like one single mass of flesh."

Since witness statements like that clearly satisfied the authors, they did not make the least attempt to furnish material or documentary evidence for the claimed mass murder in Treblinka (or the other 'extermination camps').

⁶⁶ E. Kogon, H. Langbein, A. Rückerl, *et al.* (eds.), *Nazi Mass Murder*, Yale University Press, New Haven 1993, pp. 1f.

⁶⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 126f.

⁶⁸ Correct spelling: Demjanjuk. See Chapter V.

o. Claude Lanzmann

In 1985, the nine-and-a-half-hour film *Shoah* debuted, shot by the French-Jewish director Claude Lanzmann. It sought to prove, on the basis of eyewitness narratives of ‘Holocaust survivors,’ the annihilation of the Jews in ‘extermination camps,’ among them also Treblinka. In the introductory note to the book of the same name, which contains the entire spoken text of the film, Simone de Beauvoir wrote:⁶⁹

“After the war we read masses of accounts of the ghettos and the extermination camps, and we were devastated. But when, today, we see Claude Lanzmann’s extraordinary film, we realize we have understood nothing. In spite of everything we knew, the ghastly experience remained remote from us. Now, for the first time, we live it in our minds, hearts and flesh. It becomes our experience.”

In order to gain a notion of the standard of this film and of the book of the same title, we now reproduce an excerpt from the conversation in it between the director and his star eyewitness, the Treblinka barber Abraham Bomba. We are quoting here from the English translation.⁷⁰

“[Lanzmann:] How did it look, the gas chamber?

[Bomba] *It was not a big room, around twelve feet by twelve feet. [...] And then one of the kapos came in and said: ‘Barbers, you have to do a job to make all those women coming in believe that they are just taking a haircut and going in to take a shower, and from there they go out from this place.’ We know already that there is no way of going out from this room [...]*’

And suddenly you saw the women coming?

Yes, they came in.

How were they?

They were undressed, naked, without clothes, without anything else [...]

There were no mirrors?

No, there were no mirrors. There were just benches – not chairs, just benches – where we worked, about sixteen or seventeen barbers [...]

You said there were about sixteen barbers? You cut the hair of how many women in one batch?

In one day there was about, I would say, going into that place between sixty and seventy women in the same room at one time. After we were finished with this party, another party came in [...]”

Robert Faurisson comments about this:⁷¹

⁶⁹ Claude Lanzmann, *Shoa*, éditions Fayard, Paris 1985; English edition, *Shoah*, DaCapo Press, New York 1995, Foreword, p. vii.

⁷⁰ *Shoah*, English edition, pp. 112-116.

⁷¹ R. Faurisson, *Ecrits révisionnistes, op. cit.* (note 56), vol. II, pp. 558f.

“This part of the witness account of Bomba can be summarized as follows: In a room of 16 square meters, there are sixteen (or seventeen?) barbers as well as benches; sixty or seventy naked women, along with children whose number is not more closely given, enter the room. [...] This is impossible. This is even pure nonsense. [...] Human gullibility knows no limits. Due to the brainwashing, the generations-long propaganda conducted against German or Nazi barbarism, one can swallow everything, one can succeed in having everything swallowed.”

p. Yitzhak Arad

In 1987, the Israeli ‘Holocaust expert’ Yitzhak Arad made an attempt to scientifically document the extermination of the Jews in Treblinka as well as in the other ‘eastern extermination camps’ in the book *Belzec, Sobibor, Treblinka. The Operation Reinhard Death Camps*. But even many footnotes are unable to disguise the fact that Arad’s claims of exterminations are based *exclusively* upon those eyewitness narratives whose worth we can easily appraise from the examples, which have been cited up to this point. Arad’s book, which today is generally considered to be the standard work about Treblinka as well as the other two ‘camps of Operation Reinhardt,’ contains blatant impossibilities. For example, Arad writes about the “old gas chambers” of the camp in this manner:⁷²

“At the entrance to the gas chambers stood two Ukrainians, Ivan Demianuk and Nikolai, one armed with an iron bar and the other with a sword, and they, too, urged the people on with blows to push their way in – 200-250 in a chamber of 16 square meters. [...]

There were instances when the gas chambers were opened too early and the victims were still alive; the doors would have to be closed again. The engines that produced and fed the gas into the chambers also broke down, causing stoppages in the extermination operation. Breakdowns of this nature also occurred when the victims were already inside the gas chambers, and they would then be held there for long hours until the engines had been repaired.”

Under the unrealistic assumption that it was possible to pen up 200 to 250 people in a chamber sixteen square meters in size (and 2.6 m high⁷³) without fresh air, the pitiable victims would certainly not have had to wait out “long hours” when there were engine breakdowns, since they would have suffocated a long time before that; one would therefore have been able to do without the engine.

⁷² Yitzhak Arad, *Belzec, Sobibor, Treblinka. The Operation Reinhard Death Camps*, Indiana University Press, Bloomington and Indianapolis 1987, p. 86f.

⁷³ According to Arad, the gas chambers were that high (*ibid.*, p. 42).

We will return to this and to other technical impossibilities in another chapter.⁷⁴ We will also discuss the brazen falsification of source material undertaken by Arad.⁷⁵

q. Ryszard Czarkowski

In 1989, a book appeared in Poland entitled *Cieniom Treblinki* ([dedicated to] The Shadows of Treblinka). The author, a Ryszard Czarkowski, had been interned in the labor camp Treblinka I during the war, barely three kilometers from the ‘extermination camp’ Treblinka II. According to the introduction to this work, the prisoners of the labor camp were able to observe the process of mass murders in the adjacent ‘extermination camp,’ since “*there were contacts of labor between the two centers.*”⁷⁶

Czarkowski criticizes Z. Łukaszkiwicz because of the number of Treblinka victims, 800,000, given by the latter; by means of eyewitness narratives as well as some counts of the deportation trains, he determines the number of murdered to be 1,582,000.⁷⁷

The question of how 1,582,000 bodies might have been disposed of without a trace evidently gives him as little occasion for reflection as does the question of why Stalinist Polish historiography and judiciary during the post-war years should have reduced the number of Treblinka victims to half of what he claims to be true, and thus scandalously minimized National Socialist crimes.

r. J. Gumkowski and A. Rutkowski

The work *Treblinka*,⁷⁸ written by J. Gumkowski and A. Rutkowski, has no date, but it can be said with certainty that it appeared after the aforementioned books by Y. Arad and R. Czarkowski. It is of definite value, since it contains a selection of documents and photographs from the archives of the Main Commission for the Investigation of Crimes against the Polish People (formerly the Main Commission for the Investigation of Hitler Crimes in Poland).

s. Wolfgang Benz

In 1991, an authors’ collective directed by Wolfgang Benz published the anthology *Dimension des Völkermords* (Dimension of Genocide) in response to the demographic study *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry* by Wal-

⁷⁴ See Chapter IV.

⁷⁵ See Chapter II.

⁷⁶ Ryszard Czarkowski, *Cieniom Treblinki*, Wydawnictwo Ministerstwa Obrony Narodowej, Warsaw 1989, p. 5.

⁷⁷ See Chapter III.

⁷⁸ J. Gumkowski, A. Rutkowski, *Treblinka*, published by the Council for Protection of Fight and Martyrdom Monuments, Warsaw, without date.

ter Sanning,⁷⁹ which had appeared eight years earlier and according to which the total losses of Jewry in the areas controlled by Germany during the Second World War amounted only to several hundred thousands. Benz and his co-authors defend the usual figure of about six million Jewish victims. With respect to Treblinka, Benz repeats the number of victims given by Rachel Auerbach in 1946 – 1,074,000 – since this “*seems more realistic to us than the demonstrable minimum number.*”⁸⁰ That the authors’ collective classifies Rachel Auerbach’s work, according to which blood in Treblinka proved to be “*first-class combustion material,*” as a serious source, already says much about the quality of this collection. Germar Rudolf, who compared the works of Sanning and Benz and has demonstrated the blatant demographic manipulations of the Benz team, comments in this regard:⁸¹

“*Thus, Treblinka with its more than one million victims is weighted more heavily in Benz’s analysis than Auschwitz is – a completely new trend in Holocaust studies.*”

As Rudolf correctly points out, this elevation of the number of Treblinka victims serves the purpose of enabling the sacrosanct six million figure to be maintained despite the drastic lowering of the number of victims of Auschwitz that has occurred in recent years.

t. Richard Glazar

In 1992, forty-nine years after the dissolution of Treblinka, the Jew Richard Glazar published his ‘eyewitness narrative’ *Trap with a Green Fence*. Although, according to the official version of Treblinka summarized in the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, the Jewish prisoners “*were killed after working in the camps for several weeks or months, to be replaced by new arrivals from the transports,*”⁸² Glazar by his own account spent a full ten months in that camp, from October 1942 until August 1943. His account of his ‘personal experiences’ is a plagiarism cobbled together from diverse tales of other ‘Treblinka survivors.’ One of the sources, from which he took his inspiration, is a 1986 book by Samuel Willenberg, first published in Hebrew and then in English in 1989. There, for example, one reads about the Greek Jews who arrived in Treblinka in the spring of 1943.⁸³

⁷⁹ Walter Sanning, *The Dissolution of Eastern European Jewry*, I.H.R., Torrance 1983.

⁸⁰ Wolfgang Benz (ed.), *Dimension des Völkermords. Die Zahl der jüdischen Opfer des Nationalsozialismus*, R. Oldenbourg Verlag, Munich 1991, p. 468.

⁸¹ Germar Rudolf, “*Holocaust Victims: A Statistical Analysis · W. Benz and W. N. Sanning – A Comparison,*” in: Germar Rudolf (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, 2nd edition, Theses & Dissertations Press, Chicago, IL, 2003, p. 202.

⁸² *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, *op. cit.* (note 18), as cited on p. 16.

⁸³ Samuel Willenberg, *Revolt in Treblinka*, Żydowski Instytut Historyczny, Warsaw 1989, pp. 104f. Almost identical (the SS man is called Miete, though): Samuel Willenberg, *Surviving Treblinka*, Basil Blackwell, Oxford/New York 1989, p. 113.

“Early in spring of 1943 the whistle of a train heralded the arrival of a new shipment. A slightly strange crowd spilled out – people with dark faces, curly, raven-black hair, and a foreign tongue on their lips. The suit-cases taken out of the wagons bore labels reading ‘Saloniki’. Word that Jews from Greece had arrived spread around the camp like lightning. [...] Every last one of them exited the cars in a state of total calm. [...] The SS man] Mitte found three Greeks with a command of German and drafted them as interpreters.”

In Glazar’s book, this is described as follows:⁸⁴

“People climb calmly out of the cars, without pushing, without crowding. [...] Apparently they have been in quarantine too. [...] Their faces look healthy, and they have an unusual dark complexion. Black hair – all I see black to pitch-black hair. [...] I can hear that the people are speaking a completely foreign language.”

“Three were chosen from this transport. [...] They can speak a little, a very little German. Through them the others were informed that everything had to be disinfected, that they would go to a bath to be disinfected, and then they would be sent to work.”

The author makes two colossal blunders: First he has the burning of the bodies begin “one overcast November afternoon” in 1942,⁸⁵ although the standard literature unanimously claims that the incineration of corpses did not start before March/April 1943. Next he claims that he was part of Treblinka’s “camouflage commando”.⁸⁶

“There are a few here, until now the only ones, who have been allowed to work in contact with nature, to see the camp of death from the outside, [...] when they are driven out of the camp and into the forest, when they break branches off pine trees and collect them, [...]. But in the camouflage commando they only keep workers who are fit enough to climb high up into the trees and trot back to camp carrying heavy bundles of branches. They weave these branches into strands of barbed wire, thus maintaining the camouflage green around the entire perimeter of the camp.”

“The camouflage unit is the only one of the old work squads that still has enough real work to do. There is so much exterior and interior fencing that there are always repairs to be made. And if there are no repairs, then the camouflage unit is well suited for the forestry work in the vicinity of the camp – for clearing and cutting. Several times a day [...] some part of the twenty-five man unit has to go out into the forest, climb into the trees, harvest large branches, and carry them back into the camp, where they will be

⁸⁴ Richard Glazar, *Trap with a Green Fence*, Northwestern University Press, Evanston, IL, 1995, p. 89, 93.

⁸⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 29.

⁸⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 56.

used for repairs. The other part of the unit straightens and firms up the posts, tightens the barbed wire, and weaves the new pine boughs into the fence until there are no longer any gaps in the dense green wall. [...] We are the camouflage unit. [...]

*From climbing into the trees and breaking off branches, Karl and I have scratches on our hands and faces.*⁸⁷

Thus, according to Richard Glazar, 25 inmates were the only ones in Treblinka who were able to leave the camp, to work in the forests, to do real hard work, and to supply the camp with its needs of wood. If there had ever been a massive need for firewood in Treblinka to cremate corpses, filled by inmates sent into the forests to fell trees, these activities would have yielded millions of branches, which would have rendered the tree-climbing activities of the camouflage unit obsolete.⁸⁸ But apparently Glazar opines that no such tree-felling occurred during his time in the camp. All Glazar, the lumberjack of Treblinka, knows about how the corpses were allegedly incinerated is the following:⁸⁵

“You have to build big bonfires and put a lot of kindling in among the corpses, and then douse the whole thing in something very flammable.”

u. Jean-Claude Pressac

In 1995, the French magazine *Historama* published an article by Jean-Claude Pressac,⁸⁹ a researcher who, to be sure, considered the gas chambers to be an historical fact but who – in comparison with the other representatives of the official version of history – clearly maintained a relatively critical attitude toward witness testimonies. In his contribution, Pressac was chiefly concerned with Auschwitz, but he also took into the subject of Treblinka, Sobibór, and Bełżec. In contrast to the conventional historiography, according to which these camps were set up exclusively for the extermination of Jews, Pressac opines that they were originally established as transit or as delousing camps and were only later converted into extermination camps. We shall examine this thesis of Pressac more closely in another chapter.⁹⁰

Likewise in 1995, Pressac granted an interview to Valérie Igounet, which was not published until 2000, with changes made according to Pressac’s wishes. In it, Pressac denounced the official account of the concentrations camps as being distinguished by “*bungling, exaggeration, omission, and lies*,”⁹¹ and he determined that to call the National Socialist policy against the

⁸⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 127f.

⁸⁸ See Chapter IV.12.f. for details. Even if such a camouflage unit had existed, it surely would have chopped down the trees, then cut the branches off, rather than shinnying up the tree trunks. Such an activity is ridiculous.

⁸⁹ J.-C. Pressac, “*Enquête sur les camps de la morte*,” in: *Historama*, no. 34, 1995.

⁹⁰ See Chapter IX.

⁹¹ Valérie Igounet, *Histoire du négationnisme en France*, Editions du Seuil, Paris 2000.

Jews ‘genocide’ is erroneous.⁹² He also posited a drastic reduction in the number of victims in the ‘pure extermination camps,’ among them Treblinka.⁹³

v. Assessment

After what has been said to this point, our judgment with respect to the picture of the camp drawn by the orthodox historians must be a devastating one: the few works that proceed scientifically support their claims, without exception, with unreliable sources; the bunglings of brazen liars are accepted as classics of the Treblinka literature. In short: the value of the official historiography on the camp is pitifully small!

2. Treblinka in Revisionist Literature

a. Treblinka and the Gerstein Report

Because the official historiography of the ‘Holocaust’ has from the very beginning focused upon Auschwitz, the revisionists, who had to confront their opponents on the field chosen by the latter, have likewise concentrated quite predominantly upon the concentration camp Auschwitz and devoted significantly less attention to Treblinka.

Treblinka was at least touched upon by some revisionist authors in connection with the so-called ‘Gerstein Report.’ The alleged confessions of the SS officer Kurt Gerstein, who according to his ‘confessions’ visited Belżec and Treblinka in the year 1942, are considered to be one of the supporting pillars of the ‘Holocaust.’ In Belżec, Gerstein purports to have attended a mass gassing, the description of which, however, abounds in impossibilities: thus, he claims that in the gas chamber 700 to 800 victims were crammed together in “25 square meters, in 45 cubic meters”!

The Frenchman Paul Rassinier, former resistance fighter, prisoner in the concentration camps Buchenwald and Dora-Mittelbau, and the founder of Revisionism, pointed out the unreliability of the Gerstein Report in his 1964 book *Le Drame des Juifs Européens*⁹⁴ and stressed its worthlessness as an historical source. Another French researcher, Henri Roques, has proved in his 1989 doctoral dissertation that not fewer than six versions of the Gerstein Report exist, differing substantially from one another.⁹⁵ However, since Gerstein

⁹² *Ibid.*, p. 641.

⁹³ See Chapter III.

⁹⁴ Paul Rassinier, *Le drame des juifs européens*, Les sept couleurs, Paris 1964.

⁹⁵ Henri Roques, “*Les confessions de Kurt Gerstein, étude comparative des six versions*,” in: André Chelain, *La thèse de Nantes et l’affaire Roques*, Polémiques, Paris 1988.

described a gassing event in Belżec and not in Treblinka, the studies of Rassinier and Roques demolish above all the credibility of his statements about the former camp. In contrast to the two French historians, Carlo Mattogno has dealt more in depth with Treblinka in his 1985 work *Il rapporto Gerstein, Anatomia di un falso*, which is also devoted to the Gerstein Report. In it, Mattogno suggested that “*the myth of the gas chambers prevailed only somewhat*” regarding Treblinka, because in 1943, in the *Black Book of Polish Jewry*, steam chambers for the extermination of the Jews were spoken of, and the same method of killing was still mentioned in the December 1945 Nuremberg Document PS-3311, produced by the Polish government. Only in February 1946, according to Mattogno, did the Jewish witness Samuel Rajzman speak of gas chambers before the Nuremberg Court.⁹⁶

To date, four revisionist authors have led a massive assault against the foundations of the official picture of Treblinka: Friedrich P. Berg, John C. Ball, Udo Walendy, and Arnulf Neumaier, of whom the first two authors have investigated important individual aspects of the question, while the latter two have made a comprehensive attack upon the current version of Treblinka.

b. Friedrich P. Berg

In 1984, the graduate engineer F.P. Berg published a pioneering article in the *Journal of Historical Review* entitled: “*The Diesel Gas Chambers: Myth within a Myth*,”⁹⁷ in which he subjected the diesel engine, claimed as a murder weapon for Treblinka, Sobibór, and Belżec, to technical and toxicological examination. An expanded German translation appeared in 1994 in the anthology *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte*.⁹⁸ In the English edition of that work, *Dissecting the Holocaust*, (latest edition: 2003), Berg’s contribution appeared in a further revised and improved version.⁹⁹

In his study, Berg proved that diesel exhaust gases are appallingly ill adapted for the mass killing of human beings due to their high oxygen content and their very low carbon monoxide (CO) content; a gasoline engine would be far more efficient. We shall return to this topic in connection with the critique of witness testimonies in Chapter IV.

Berg’s study shook the current version of Treblinka, Sobibór, and Belżec to its very foundations. If the Germans had really succeeded in gassing ap-

⁹⁶ Carlo Mattogno, *Il rapporto Gerstein, Anatomia di un falso*, Sentinella d’Italia, Monfalcone 1985, pp. 167ff.

⁹⁷ *Journal of Historical Review* 5 (1), 1984, pp. 15-46.

⁹⁸ Friedrich P. Berg, “*Die Diesel-Gaskammern: Mythos im Mythos*” in: E. Gauss (ed.), *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte*, Grabert Verlag, Tübingen 1994, pp. 321-345.

⁹⁹ Friedrich P. Berg, “*Diesel Gas Chambers: Ideal for Torture – Absurd for Murder*,” in: G. Rudolf (ed.), *op. cit.* (note 81), pp. 435-469.

proximately 1.72 million Jews¹⁰⁰ in these three camps in record time and in removing all trace of their bodies, they would have to have been technical geniuses, and such geniuses would certainly not have resorted to so inefficient a murder weapon. The objection that perhaps the instrument of the crime was a gasoline engine is untenable, for the witnesses to the gassing claim that the exhaust gases had been produced by the engines of captured Russian tanks, and most Russian tanks of the Second World War were driven by diesel engines. The updated German edition of the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* maintains, plainly and clearly:¹⁰¹

“Belżec, Sobibór, and Treblinka were built within the framework of the Operation Reinhardt[sic!] (so called from June of 1942) of the murder campaign against, above all, the Jews from the General Gouvernement. These extermination camps used carbon monoxide gas, which was produced by diesel engines.”

Whoever may object that the witnesses might have erred in regard to the weapon of the crime is simultaneously discrediting, along with the credibility of the witness testimony, the entire picture of the ‘eastern extermination camps,’ which is based *exclusively* upon just these witness statements!

c. John C. Ball

No less important than the technical and toxicological investigations of Berg are the analyses of Allied and German photographs taken during the war over the ‘extermination camps,’ among them Treblinka. These analyses were performed by the Canadian professional air photo interpreter John C. Ball and

¹⁰⁰ According to the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* (note 18) 870,000 in Treblinka, 600,000 in Belżec and 250,000 in Sobibór.

¹⁰¹ Eberhard Jäckel, Peter Longerich, Julius H. Schoeps (eds.), *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust. Die Verfolgung und Ermordung der europäischen Juden*, 3 vols., Argon Verlag, Berlin 1993, entries for “Aktion Reinhard,” vol. 1, p. 15 “Benzin oder Dieselmotoren” (gasoline or diesel engines), “Belżec,” vol. 1, p. 176 “Dieselmotor mit 250 PS” (diesel engine with 250 hp), “Sobibor,” vol. 3, p. 1332 “200 PS-Motor” (200 hp engine), “Treblinka,” vol. 3, p. 1428 “Dieselmotor” (diesel engine), “Gaskammer” (gas chamber), vol. 1, p. 505 “Dieselauspuffgas [...] in den Vernichtungslagern im Generalgouvernement” (diesel exhaust gas... in the extermination camps in the General Gouvernement) and “Vernichtungslager” (extermination camps), vol. 3, p. 1496: “These extermination camps [Belżec, Sobibór, Treblinka] used carbon monoxide gas produced by Diesel engines.” According to this source, the Sobibor camp (250,000 victims) is the only case where there is any uncertainty regarding the engine type. In Belżec (600,000 victims) and Treblinka (700,000 to 1,200,000 victims) they were definitely diesel engines; the entries of English version of this *Encyclopedia* are identical for the individual camps, for “gas chamber,” and for “Aktion Reinhard” (AR: vol. 1, p. 16; B: vol. 1, p. 175; GC: col. 2, p. 540; S: vol. 3, p. 1375; T: vol. 3, p. 1483), but the entry for “extermination camps” says “gasoline or diesel engine,” vol. 2, p. 462. The gasoline engine was apparently edited out by the German editors to conform to witness statements and court findings, but they neglected to remove it from the “Aktion Reinhard” entry.

presented in 1992 in his book *Air Photo Evidence*.¹⁰² We will also return to these photographs.

d. Udo Walendy

The German political scientist Udo Walendy, editor and publisher of the German historiographical series *Historische Tatsachen* (Historical Facts), has dealt with Treblinka in two issues of this periodical. No. 12 (1982) analyzes, *inter alia*, the 1964–1965 Düsseldorf trial of former members of the staff of the Treblinka camp.¹⁰³ In it, Walendy pointedly attacks the legal basis of this trial and exposes numerous absurdities in the arguments supporting the verdict. We reproduce an excerpt from his commentary here.¹⁰⁴

“50 SS men manage, with the assistance of a tank engine, to kill approximately 700,000 people within a year and to remove all traces. That is 14,000 per SS guard, or just 40 per day, a total for all 50 of 2,000 per day. But wait: according to other claims [...], it was supposed to be 8,000 or 30,000 daily! Note well: per day!

With all this, these people still had time to pause for sadistic atrocities and continually invent new ones, with or without riding crops. To be sure, the normal life of the camp broke down, but obviously everything functioned, from the disinfection of the women’s shorn hair, to the separation of the Stars of David from the clothing, from the burning of the bodies, which had already been buried in large mass pits, to the total elimination of all traces, including sifting the ashes, crushing of the bones, and mixing the ashes with the soil as well as leveling the whole camp. [...] Neither attorneys nor experts, jurors, judges, ‘historians,’ or newspaper writers have burdened themselves with worrying about any of the technical impossibilities that are becoming obvious here – and add to this in the midst of war with a 50-man German guard detachment .”

Issue no. 44 of the *Historische Tatsachen*, which appeared eight years later, was devoted exclusively to the Treblinka camp and thus was titled “*Der Fall Treblinka*” (The Case of Treblinka).¹⁰⁵ Walendy began by citing passages from W. Grossmann’s grotesque writing *Die Hölle von Treblinka* (The Hell of Treblinka) and subsequently dealt with the following topics:

- the claims of the *Black Book*, published in 1946 by the World Jewish Congress, that three million people had been murdered in Treblinka by means of “assembly-line execution”;

¹⁰² John C. Ball, *Air Photo Evidence*, Ball Resource Services, Delta, B.C. 1992. An abridged version appears in: Germar Rudolf (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, *op. cit.* (note 81), pp. 269-282.

¹⁰³ “‘NSG-Prozeß’ Treblinka” in: *Historische Tatsachen* no. 12 (“Das Recht, in dem wir leben”), Vlotho 1982, pp. 28-32.

¹⁰⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 30.

¹⁰⁵ *Historische Tatsachen* no. 44, “*Der Fall Treblinka*”, Vlotho 1990.

- the contradictions in the standard literature regarding the topographical information given about Treblinka, as well as between the various sketches produced by ‘eyewitnesses’;
- the lack of credibility of witness statements;
- an analysis of air photographs refuting extermination claims.

No. 44 of the *Historische Tatsachen* represented the most thorough and comprehensive critique of the orthodox Treblinka version to that point. In gratitude for his efforts to discover the historical truth, Walendy was later locked up for over two years in a German jail.¹⁰⁶

e. Arnulf Neumaier

Since the official Treblinka version stands or falls with the possibility of the elimination of the bodies without a trace in the method and manner asserted by the witnesses, Neumaier’s calculations in this regard are especially important.

In 1994, in the anthology *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte*, appeared an outstanding article entitled “*Der Treblinka-Holocaust*,” written by the graduate engineer Arnulf Neumaier.¹⁰⁷ A translation with the title “*The Treblinka Holocaust*” was included in the English version of the same book.¹⁰⁸ In his article Neumaier subjected the technical prerequisites for the claimed extermination of Jews in Treblinka to a comprehensive examination. He raised Berg’s arguments against the viability of the alleged mass murder by means of diesel exhaust, and advanced additional points; demonstrated the massive contradictions of the witness statements, which name several entirely different methods of killing; and, in particular, considered the question of how the bodies were disposed.

f. The Thesis of the Transit Camp

The revisionist studies mentioned to this point have restricted themselves exclusively to refuting the official picture of Treblinka as an “extermination camp.” An alternative interpretation of its function has not appeared in these studies, which of course is the direct consequence of the complete lack of contemporary documents. Yet some notable revisionist authors have proposed the thesis that Treblinka was a transit camp for Jews. The American scholar Prof. Dr. Arthur R. Butz suggested in his revisionist classic *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, first published in 1976, that Treblinka simultaneously served as

¹⁰⁶ Cf. *Vierteljahreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung*, 1(2) (1997), p. 126, and 2(4) (1998), p. 327; all in all, U. Walendy spent 29 months in prison.

¹⁰⁷ Arnulf Neumaier, “*Der Treblinka-Holocaust*,” in: Ernst Gauss (ed.), *op. cit.* (note 98), p. 347-374.

¹⁰⁸ In: Germar Rudolf (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, *op. cit.* (note 81), pp. 471-500.

a labor camp as well as a transit camp for Jews resettled to the east;¹⁰⁹ Prof. Dr. Robert Faurisson also supports the transit camp thesis.¹¹⁰

Finally, the American historian Mark Weber, together with the U.S. lawyer Andrew Allen, wrote an excellent article about Treblinka in 1992, in which the two authors summarized all known arguments to that date against the ‘extermination camp’ thesis, introduced new viewpoints, and wrote concerning the actual nature of the camp:¹¹¹

“If Treblinka was not an extermination center, what was it? [...] the balance of evidence indicates that Treblinka II – along with Belzec and Sobibor – was a transit camp, where Jewish deportees were stripped of their property and valuables before being transferred eastwards into German-occupied Soviet territories.”

Since Treblinka was much too small to be able to accommodate the large number of Jews deported there at the same time, the transit camp thesis is, in fact, the single plausible alternative to the conventional picture of the extermination camp. *Tertium non datur* – there is no third possibility.

¹⁰⁹ Arthur R. Butz, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, 3rd ed., Theses and Dissertations Press, Chicago, IL 2003, p. 270.

¹¹⁰ Robert Faurisson, *Ecrits révisionnistes, op. cit.* (note 56), pp. 754f. (vol. II).

¹¹¹ Mark Weber, Andrew Allen, “Treblinka,” in: *Journal Historical Review*, no. 2, Summer 1992, p. 139.